

# Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Cobley, Paul ORCID logoORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8222-159X> (2016) Deely, John Nathaniel (1942-). In: The Bloomsbury Encyclopedia of Philosophers in America: From 1600 to the Present. Shook, John R., ed. Bloomsbury Academic, pp. 244-247. ISBN 9781472570543.  
[Book Section]

Final accepted version (with author's formatting)

This version is available at: <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/20013/>

## Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

[eprints@mdx.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@mdx.ac.uk)

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>

**DEELY, John N. (1942-)**

John Deely was born on 26 April 1942 in Chicago, Illinois. He was educated at the Aquinas Institute School of Philosophy, River Forest, Illinois receiving a BA in 1965, an MA in 1966 (with his thesis published in article form the same year), and a PhD in 1967 (with the thesis published in monograph form 1971 as *The Tradition via Heidegger*). He held early positions at Saint Mary's College, South Bend (1974–1976), University of Ottawa (1968-1969), St. Thomas University, Fredericton, New Brunswick (1967-1968) and St. Joseph's College, Rensselaer, Indiana (1966-1967). Later, he was appointed at Loras College, Dubuque, Iowa (1976-1999), along with a number of visiting posts including Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil (Fall 1988-Spring 1989), Fulbright Professor; Pontificia Universidade de São Paulo, 22-26 May 1989, Fulbright-Garcia Robles Professor, Anáhuac University, México City (Fall 1994–Spring 1995). Since being appointed at the University of St. Thomas, Houston (1999-present), where he has held the Rudman Chair in Philosophy since (2007), he has been a visiting scholar at the University of Helsinki, Finland (Fall 2000), Visiting Fulbright Professor, Southeast European Center for Semiotic Studies, New Bulgarian University, New Bulgarian University, Sofia, Bulgaria (Spring 2005) and Visiting Professor of Semiotics, Tartu University, Estonia (2009 spring semester).

His book-length monographs include *The Problem of Evolution: Philosophical Repercussions of Evolutionary Science* (with Raymond Nogar, 1973), his scholarly edition of *Tractatus de Signis: The Semiotic of John Poincaré* (1985 and 2013), *Basics of Semiotics* (1990), *Four Ages of Understanding. The First Postmodern History of Philosophy from Ancient Times to the Turn of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century* (2001), *What Distinguishes Human Understanding* (2002), *The Semiotic Animal* (with Susan Petrilli and Augusto Ponzio, 2005), *Purely Objective Reality* (2009a), *Medieval Philosophy Redefined* (2010a) and the 'Poincaré trilogy' (2008a, 2008b, 2015). This body of work is complemented by over 200 articles and a number of book series that Deely edited, including the yearbooks of the Semiotic Society of America (from 1980), *The American Journal of Semiotics* (from 2001), *Sources in Semiotics* (University Press of America/Rowman & Littlefield) and *Approaches to Postmodernity* (Scranton University Press 2007–2010).

An authority on the work of Charles Sanders Peirce (1839-1914) and a major figure in both contemporary semiotics, Scholastic Realism, Thomism and, more broadly, Catholic philosophy, Deely's thinking has demonstrated how awareness of signs has heralded a new, genuinely 'postmodern' epoch in the history of human thought. 'Postmodern' here means 'after the modern' rather than the fashionable intellectual and publishing movement emanating mainly

from Paris and associated with the academic trend of poststructuralism from the 1960s onwards (the postmoderns “falsely so called” – Deely 2003). Deely’s writing on signs calls for a thoroughgoing superseding of the ‘modern’, proposing an understanding of humans as the ‘semiotic animal’ to replace the modern definition as “res cogitans” (see Deely 2005).

Central to Deely’s work, but certainly not the whole of it, is his excavating of the semiotic of João Poinset (also known as John Poinset and St. John of Thomas, 1589-1644). In Poinset and the heritage of Late Latin thought, Deely saw a triadic theory of semiosis pre-dating Peirce, as well as a Thomist logic. Deely also draws on the ‘antimodernism’ and ‘ultramodernism’ of Jacques Maritain (1882-1973), the whole of Peirce’s philosophy and logic, as well as the writings of the theoretical biologist, Jakob von Uexküll (1864-1944) and the work of the semiotician and “biologist manqué”, Thomas A. Sebeok (1920-2001). Tracking the development of a ‘pragmaticist’ realism, following Peirce, Deely’s work would address questions of knowledge – how humans come to know (realism) and how they remember (or repeatedly forget) what they might know (the history of pre-modern, modern and postmodern thought; cf. Deely 1985, 1988). Yet he is very suspicious of the term epistemology and its deployment in philosophy and in thinking in general (see Deely 2010b). His early articles focused on the problems that the idea of evolution posed for conceptions of what it is to be human. This concern runs through all of his work, including his most recent discussions of the human as the animal possessing a semiotic consciousness.

As with Jakob von Uexküll, what unites human and non-human animals for Deely is the habitation of both in an *Umwelt*. An animal’s *Umwelt* is its ‘objective’ world: it is the world that the animal lives in, how it apprehends everything around itself (and even within itself); yet, at the same time, that very apprehension takes place on the basis of the sensory apparatus that it possesses and, consequently, the signs that it is able to emit and receive (Deely 2009b). A dog’s hearing, for example, a key part of its sensory apparatus, is much more honed to high frequencies than a human’s; for this reason, a dog inhabits a different *Umwelt* to a human and uses largely different signs. If an animal’s *Umwelt* is its ‘objective’ world and it is where an animal relates to ‘objects’ then there is a need to distinguish ‘objects’ from ‘signs’. Customarily, ‘objective’ implies phenomena completely separate and closed off from the vagaries of subjects’ apprehensions. Commonly, in speech, there is reference to an ‘objective view’ or that which is untrammelled by opinion and partisan perspectives. Deely, by contrast, performs a logical re-figuration of objectivity. He demonstrates that the world that seems to be wholly independent of humans — ‘objective’ — can never be such (see Deely 2009a). Deely offers a thorough re-orientation of what is commonly understood as the dependency of the world on its subjects, a re-

orientation derived, principally, from the distinction between ‘signs’, ‘objects’ and ‘things’. As Deely maintains (1994: 11),

There are signs and there are other things besides: things which are unknown to us at the moment and perhaps for all our individual life; things which existed before us and other things which will exist after us; things which exist only as a result of our social interactions, like governments and flags; and things which exist within our round of interactions — like daytime and night — but without being produced exactly by those interactions, or at least not inasmuch as they are ‘ours’, i.e. springing from us in some primary sense.

Objects, on the other hand, are “what the things become once experienced” (1994: 11), bearing in mind also that experience takes place through a physical, sensory modality. In this sense, even such entities as unicorns or the minotaur can be considered objects *embodied* in the physical marks of a text. But Deely argues that a “thing of experience” — an object — requires more than just embodiment: the Colosseum and the Arc de Triomphe preceded us and are expected to exist after us; but the point is that their existence as such is the product of *anthroposemiosis*. There are plenty of things — such as some metals in the earth and some things in the universe, as Deely suggests (1994: 16) — that anthroposemiosis has not yet touched. Objects are thus sometimes identical with things and can even “present themselves ‘as if’ they were simply things” (1994: 18). Likewise, signs seem to be just objects of experience — the light from a candle, the scent of a rose, the shining metal of a gun; but a sign also signifies *beyond itself*. In order for it to do so, a sign must be: not just a physical thing; not just an experienced object; but experienced as “doubly related” (Deely 1994: 22), standing for something else in some respect or capacity (or, for short: in a context).

To demonstrate the sign/object/thing relation and the shift in dependency, Deely employs the image of an iceberg’s tip: to be sure, the tip protrudes into experience as an object (a mind-dependent entity, in the order of *ens rationis*); moreover, it is, as such, a thing (mind-independent, in the order of *ens reale*); but, above all, as is known from the popular phrase, the tip is a *sign* that there is much more below (1994: 144). An important corollary of this, though, is that whatever is beneath the tip of the iceberg cannot be *approached* as a thing. It is possible that experience could make it an object but, even then, through the sensations it provokes, the feelings about them and its consequence, it is only available as a sign. It is simultaneously of the order of *ens reale* and *ens rationis* and it would be folly to bracket off one or the other in an attempt to render it as either solely object or thing. Hence Peirce’s

statement that “to try to peel off signs & get down to the real thing is like trying to peel an onion and get down to the onion itself” (see Brent 1993: 300 n. 84).

This realism of Deely implies, in consonance with von Uexküll, that non-human animals inhabit a world of objects. Humans, by contrast, in their awareness that there are such things as signs – as opposed to simply responding to or emanating signs – are compelled to inhabit the bio/semiosphere ethically. This is developed in Deely’s later work (especially 2010c).

## References

- Brent, Joseph (1993) *Charles Sanders Peirce: A Life*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Deely, John (1971) *The Tradition via Heidegger*, The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (1985) *Tractatus de Signis. The Semiotic of John Poinsett*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (1990) *Basics of Semiotics* Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (1994) *The Human Use of Signs, or Elements of Anthroposemiosis*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2001a) *Four Ages of Understanding. The First Postmodern Survey of Philosophy from Ancient Times to the Turn of the Twenty-first Century*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Deely, J. (2002) *What Distinguishes Human Understanding?* South Bend: St. Augustine’s Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2003) ‘The quasi-error of the external world’, *Cybernetics and Human Knowing* 10 (1): 25-46.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2005) “Defining the Semiotic Animal: A postmodern definition of human being superseding the modern definition ‘Res Cogitans’,” *American Catholic Philosophical Quarterly* 79: 461–481.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2008a) *Augustine and Poinsett. The protosemiotic development*, Scranton: University of Scranton Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2008b) *Descartes & Poinsett. The crossroad of signs and ideas*, Scranton: University of Scranton Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2009a) *Purely Objective Reality*, Berlin: de Gruyter Mouton.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2009b) ‘Semiosis and Jakob von Uexküll’s concept of umwelt’ in Paul Cobley (ed.) *Realism for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: A John Deely Reader*, Scranton: University of Scranton Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2010a) *Medieval Philosophy Redefined*, Scranton: University of Scranton Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2010b) ‘Realism and epistemology’ in Paul Cobley (ed.) *The Routledge Companion to Semiotics*, London: Routledge.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2010c) *Semiotic Animal: A Postmodern Definition of ‘Human Being’ Transcending Patriarchy and Feminism*, South Bend: St. Augustine’s Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2013) *Tractatus de Signis: The Semiotic of John Poinsett* Corrected 2<sup>nd</sup> edn, South Bend: St. Augustine’s Press.
- \_\_\_\_\_ (2015) *Peirce and Poinsett*, South Bend: St. Augustine’s Press.
- Deely, John and Nogar, Raymond (1973) *The Problem of Evolution: Philosophical Repercussions of Evolutionary Science*, New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Deely, John, Petrilli, Susan and Ponzio, Augusto (2005) *The Semiotic Animal*, Ottawa: Legas.

1499 words without references  
1813 words including references

Paul Cobley  
Middlesex University